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[24 August 2012]
The human right to peace, the international solidarity and the democratic and equitable international order

I.

The HR Council has consistently approached the development of the human right to peace as an emerging right in the international human rights law from a three-fold perspective: as part of the emerging right to international solidarity; as part of the right of all human beings and all peoples to a democratic and equitable international order, as claimed for in Art. 28 UDHR; and as an essential element of the right of peoples to peace.¹

Since 2007 the HR Council has reaffirmed the fundamental value of solidarity in the 21st century’s international relations. The international solidarity requires international cooperation, (unity) of interest and joint action in order to preserve not only the fabric and very survival of international society, but also to achieve the collective goals.² As the former independent expert on human rights and international solidarity -Mr. Mohammed Rudi Rizki- indicated, the right to peace should be qualified as a solidarity right.³

As a result, the HRC requested all States, United Nations agencies, other relevant international organizations and non-governmental organisations to mainstream "the right of peoples and individuals to international solidarity" in their activities⁴ and the independent expert on human rights and international solidarity to continue preparing “a draft declaration on the right of peoples and individuals to international solidarity”.⁵ It also requested that the independent expert “further develops guidelines, standards, norms and principles with a view to promoting and protecting this right, by addressing, inter alia, existing and emerging obstacles to its realization”.⁶

In his reports to the HR Council, Mr. Rizki stated from the upset that the right to peace shall be part of the future declaration on the right of peoples and individuals to international solidarity. He also concluded that the “international solidarity permeates the three pillars of the Charter of the United Nations: peace and security; development; and human rights. Development and human rights are the most secure basis for peace”.⁷

The independent expert also reiterated that “there is an unequivocal value of solidarity and a related value system that can inform ... the progressive development of ....an evolving right of peoples and individuals to international solidarity”.⁸ To his opinion, the international solidarity is “a precondition to human dignity, the basis of all human rights, and a human-centered approach to development”.⁹

In its resolutions 9/2, 12/9 and 15/13, the Human Rights Council requested the Advisory Committee to prepare inputs to contribute to the elaboration by the independent expert on

² A/HRC/4/8, par. 12.
⁴ Resolution 6/3, par. 5.
⁵ Ibidem, para. 7.
⁶ Resolution 9/2, par. 7; and resolution 12/9, par. 8.
⁷ A/HRC/15/32, of 5 July 2010, par. 61.
⁸ Ibidem, par. 40.
⁹ Ibidem, paragraph 58.
human rights and international solidarity of a draft declaration on the rights of peoples and individuals to international solidarity. As stated by the draft final paper on human rights and international solidarity prepared by Chen Shiqiu on behalf of the AC drafting group on human rights and international solidarity, "international solidarity is not limited to international assistance and cooperation, aid, charity or humanitarian assistance; it is a broader concept and principle that includes ....the right of peoples to peace...".\(^\text{10}\)

In accordance with the current independent expert on human rights and international solidarity (Ms. Virginia Dandan), the draft paper prepared by the drafting group will guide the draft declaration on international solidarity and it should be delivered to the Human Rights Council by 2014.\(^\text{11}\) In addition, participants in the expert workshop on human rights and international solidarity held in Geneva on 7 and 8 June 2012 agreed that "a right to international solidarity could strengthen space for participation and increase the accountability of national and international stakeholders by creating duties and obligations, including to respect cultural diversity and the right to peace".\(^\text{12}\)

II.

In 2008 the HR Council initiated the development of the content of Art. 28 UDHR interpreting the aspirations of all peoples for an international order based on the principles enshrined in the Charter of the United Nations.

As stated by the HR Council resolution 18/6, a democratic and equitable international order requires, inter alia, the realization of the following: (a) The right of all peoples to self-determination...; (b) The right of peoples and nations to permanent sovereignty over their natural wealth and resources; (c) The right of every human person and all peoples to development; (d) The right of all peoples to peace; ....(o) The shared responsibility of the nations of the world for managing worldwide economic and social development, as well as threats to international peace and security, that should be exercised multilaterally".\(^\text{13}\)

In addition, it reaffirmed that all States “should promote the establishment, maintenance and strengthening of international peace and security and, to that end, should do their utmost to achieve general and complete disarmament under effective international control, as well as to ensure that the resources released by effective disarmament measures are used for comprehensive development, in particular that of the developing countries".\(^\text{14}\) It also stressed the need "....to eliminate the widening gap between the developed and the developing countries and ensure steadily accelerating economic and social development and peace and justice for present and future generations".\(^\text{15}\)

As stated by the Independent Expert on the promotion of a democratic and equitable international order (Mr. Alfred de Zayas), "a new paradigm of rights could be envisioned: enabling rights (peace, food, homeland, development), immanent rights (equality, due process) and end rights (identity, the right to achieve one’s potential)".\(^\text{16}\) He also recalled civil society initiatives that have born fruit, for example the World Campaign on the Human Right to Peace conducted by the Spanish Society for the International Human Rights Law,
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which concluded on 10 December 2010 with the adoption of the *Santiago Declaration on the Human Right to Peace* in Santiago de Compostela, Spain in the context of the World Social Forum on Education for Peace.\(^\text{17}\)

Finally, the Independent Expert reported that, pursuant to HR Council resolution 17/16 and Advisory Committee recommendation 8/4, the Advisory Committee submitted to the Council its draft declaration on the right to peace (A/HRC/20/31). At its twentieth session, the HR Council adopted resolution 20/15 establishing an open-ended working group to continue the codification process on the basis of the AC draft declaration, taking duly into account all preparatory work.\(^\text{18}\)

### III.

We invite the independent experts on human rights and international solidarity and on the promotion of a democratic and equitable international order to progressively elaborate the right to peace in their respective mandates along with the current codification process carried out by the HR Council, including the new Open-Ended Working Group on the right to peace.

In particular, the independent experts should endeavour in their respective mandates, inter alia:

- Consider the human rights to peace, solidarity and the promotion of a democratic and equitable international order as means to foster the right to self determination of peoples and all human rights, including the right to development;

- Recognize the relationship among human rights to peace, solidarity and the promotion of a democratic and equitable international order, and rights to life, integrity, liberty and security of the person and the refugee rights; *physical and mental health and well-being*; the need to protect victims from uncontrolled weapons of mass destruction, genocide, crimes against humanity and war crimes and ensure redress for their suffering; the need to examine the possibility of disarming all weapons; the right to emigrate and not emigrate; the right to know the truth, justice and reparation from human rights violations; the need to protect the rights of the most vulnerable, in particular, women and children; the exercise of civil, political, economic, social, cultural and linguistic rights to enhance social justice, equity and gender equality, and the elimination of extreme poverty, since it will make possible solidarity, peace and friendly relations among all nations, races, ethnicities or religions;

- Stress that the human right to peace promote solidarity and education for peace and human rights, and the construction of democratic, equitable, egalitarian and multicultural societies, as well as the dialogue and peaceful coexistence among cultures, civilizations and religions;

- Identify appropriate measures to implement the human rights to peace, solidarity and the promotion of a democratic and equitable international order as contained in the UN Charter, the UDHR and the international and regional human rights instruments;

- Take into account the contributions from the civil society and UN human rights bodies as contained in both the *Santiago Declaration on the Human Right to Peace* and the Advisory Committee's draft Declaration on the Right to Peace;

\(^\text{17}\) Ibidem, par. 31.

\(^\text{18}\) Ibidem, par. 10.
• Pay particular attention to gender mainstreaming in the field of peace-building, solidarity and democratic and equitable order as requested by the Beijing Declaration and Platform for Action, adopted by the Fourth World Conference on Women of 1995, and ensure women’s participation at all levels of decision-making on peace, disarmament and security issues, as mandated in Security Council resolutions 1325 (2000), 1820 (2008), 1888 and 1889 (2009), as well as the need to perform a gender analysis and ensure gender justice in all situations of armed conflict. And;

• Finally, we invite both independent experts to actively participate in the codification process of the human right to peace, in particular providing expert opinion to the new open-ended working group in charge of drafting the future UN Declaration of the Right to Peace.

Notes